

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679.843	10/06/2003	Isaac D. White	030530	3731
52270 75	10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
POTOMAC PATENT GROUP, PLLC			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
P.O. BOX 270 FREDERICKSBURG, VA 22404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3634	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
065 - 4 - 45 9	10/679,843	WHITE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Au</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-11 and 13-15 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accession and application and application of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accession and application a	rn from consideration. election requirement.	- - - -	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
	amilier. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/679,843 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is the "plate", as set forth in claim 9.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Smith.

Claims 1,2-6,11 and 3-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Moore. Moore shows a platform 48 detachably connected by means 58.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer in view of Smith. Meyer shows the claimed combination with the exception of the claimed ascension/descension apparatus. Smith at 10,30,40,50 shows the claimed ascension/descension apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Meyer to comprise an ascension/descension apparatus, as taught by Smith, in lieu of his, for accessing his pole. Furthermore, to use the device on conventional utility poles would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by the substitution of known equivalent conventional element for another.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasui in view of Smith. Yasui shows the claimed combination with the exception of the claimed ascension/descension apparatus. Smith at 10,30,40,50 shows the claimed ascension/descension apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Meyer to comprise an ascension/descension apparatus, as taught by Smith, in lieu of his transport means at 6, for accessing his pole.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number in

Application/Control Number: 10/679,843 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner

Art Unit 3634